

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

KAIFI LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON  
WIRELESS, VERIZON SERVICES CORP.,  
VERIZON ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS,  
LLC, VERIZON BUSINESS GLOBAL LLC,  
VERIZON BUSINESS NETWORK  
SERVICES, LLC, VERIZON CORPORATE  
SERVICES GROUP INC, VERIZON DATA  
SERVICES LLC, VERIZON MEDIA INC.,  
VERIZON ONLINE LLC,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 2:20-CV-00280-JRG

KAIFI LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

T-MOBILE US, INC. and T-MOBILE USA,  
INC.,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 2:20-CV-00281-JRG

**ORDER**

Plaintiff Kaifi LLC (“Plaintiff”) filed an Objection (Dkt. No. 187) to Magistrate Judge Payne’s Claim Construction Order (Dkt. No. 176). Defendants T-Mobile US, Inc. and T-Mobile USA, Inc. (collectively, the “Defendants”) filed an Objection (Dkt. No. 193) to Magistrate Judge Payne’s Claim Construction Order (Dkt. No. 176). Defendants filed a response to Plaintiff’s Objection (Dkt. No. 201). Plaintiff filed a response to Defendants’ Objection (Dkt. No. 200).

After reviewing the Plaintiff's Objection, Defendants' response, Defendants' Objection, Plaintiff's response, and the Claim Construction Order, the Court agrees with the reasoning provided within the Claim Construction Order and concludes that the parties' objections fail to show that the Claim Construction Order was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

Consequently, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's Objection (Dkt. No. 187) and **OVERRULES** Defendants' Objection (Dkt. No. 193). The Court **ADOPTS** the Claim Construction Order (Dkt. No. 176).

**So Ordered this**

**Aug 31, 2021**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE